

Dressage SA 2018 Proposed Rule Change Submissions

No.	Rule Change	Subm. By	Comments (DS – Dianne Smith, JS – Janeese Silen, HJ – Henk Jacobs, GP – Gauteng Province, WP – Western Province, VBC – Vybrant Dressage Club)
1	New Rule 34.11.10 Grading OK	WC	<p>DS All difficult to administrate. Will lead to more confusion.</p> <p>HJ will create more admin. don't need it</p> <p>GP Not applicable as Gauteng has voted to remove the O level rider status</p> <p>VBC Agree</p> <p>Other The first part difficult to manage, the second part is a good change</p>
2	New Rule Flying changes: EM Level OK	GP	<p>JS/WC Originally EM was introduced as a training grade for Medium, if Flying changes are not introduced here is there any point in having EM grade.</p> <p>HJ EM was created as an extra level to bridge the gap between E and M. If it is change then we sit again with the gap when they move to M</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Reluctantly, I agree, as unfortunately, the last two tests EM5 and 6 were originally designed as "stepping stones" to Medium with the intro of single flying changes. These tests were also invariably used for Championships etc and severely disadvantaged those horses not yet established in their changes. If we are to keep the EM grade at all (open to discussion ??) then I do believe it better to give riders the option of Simple or F. Changes as we used to do in the Adult Team Competition years ago.. and then start properly with easy Flying Changes in lower Medium. • Yes, flying changes only from medium level • Entries in EM 5 and 6 are low because riders cannot teach some horses to do flying changes. These horses should stay at EM level and have the option of simple changes in all EM tests. • A clearer cut off better for all. Also allows riders who will never go higher than EM an opportunity to ride in the EM Championship classes. • Answered previously - some riders cannot teach a horse to do flying changes so this horse and rider combination should stay in EM doing simple changes • Strongly disagree as how are you going to prepare you horse for medium, if you haven't started FC before that. How on earth are the judges going to judge one rider who make the effort to do a FC against one who does a simple change. It is only in test 5 and 6 and only one on each rein. when you get to medium there will be 6.
3	New Rule Format for SA team championship OK	GP	<p>DS will cheapen provincial colours</p> <p>JS/WC Open team is an event and should be given the status for the athletes. It showcases provinces. It speaks of transformation. Provinces award colours to their top athletes and this should be a status symbol of performance in an event on its own.</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I feel it is fairer for the smaller Provinces as they can now also field a team. Also horses don't get tired with the extra Team event class, with this falling into the qualifying classes.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In theory, think it is an excellent idea and saves a lot of exhaustion all round. Not entirely sure whether Prelim should be included (apart from encouraging entries) as not a recognised "Grade" as such (more Training level)...and we must still ensure, that the REAL status and recognition still goes to our Open Team Riders. • It will do away with the problems experienced at the recent SA Champs. I think this is a very good idea and will help entries as people are more likely to travel if they are a member of a team.
4	New Rule Trot: Elementary level OK	GP	<p>JS/WC Not sitting at the trot in Elementary level is not meeting the requirements of that level.</p> <p>HJ Can't always lower the standers to suit the riders. Stay in N till you are ready for E</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think up to, and including, E level, we could give riders a choice in both lengthened and medium trots between rising or sitting, for the sake of both horse AND rider! • Some riders who are new to elementary battle to sit the trot, and when asked to lengthen both the horse and the rider suffer and are stressed by the discomfort. There should be the option of rising or sitting the lengthened or medium trot. • I would like to see the option of Rising or Sitting Trot for Elementary level, same as in the UK. • Agree that lengthen trot in Elementary can sitting or rising but feel that medium trot at this level must show at least 50% to be a medium trot. sitting or rising is acceptable • The rest of the world has sitting trot by Elementary level. The motivation is about flying changes so have no idea why this was suggested. Sounds like a backwards step to me • I feel that a rider riding Elementary upwards should be able to sit to a trot lengthening.
5	New Rule Use of triangular reins OK	GP	<p>JS/WC This Triangular rein teaches children to feel a more supple back & roundness in a pony, smaller children's legs are too short to achieve roundness without it</p> <p>HJ Must stay. Train the trainers.</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree see previous comment that at SANESA grooms hold ponies all day in triangular reins • I completely agree with these reins NOT being used! • Typos & grammar! • Agree with this rationale. Also teaches riders to ride from the front to the back instead of from the back to the front. Difficult to learn feel with this. • At SANESA shows ponies are left in these reins most of the day and are walked by grooms and have to stand in these reins. Incorrectly used the pony flips over backwards. • I hear the point of the welfare of the horse. However, I believe any horse/pony will benefit from being round, it's much more comfortable for the horse to do its job and obviously comes with tremendous benefits for the rider in terms of learning the correct feeling. To overcome the issue of SA not having enough coaches that understand the correct way of using triangular reins, I would suggest it becomes very much part of any coaches training that's currently on offer from FEI and DSA. Perhaps a rule to the effect how the horse/pony should look to accept the training aid as well might encourage coaches to seek advice on how to use these. I think we would be missing out on an opportunity to have happier ponies and more children riding in a more correct way.
6	Rule 31.1.1.0 Cross Entries OK	GP	VDC

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will have a negative impact on smaller provinces and shows. Leave rule as is and possibly add it to show schedule per show if required at specific shows. • There should not be Children classes • But feel that if the classes are run together then they must automatically be given separate points and prizes not only at the discretion of the OC. But always. • Wording?
7	Rule 31.3.0 Order of Starting OK	GP	VDC Agree
8	Rule 31.4.0 Age classification of athletes Against current FEI rules	GP	DS doesn't adhere to FEI HJ Don't agree. Not in line with FEI. Can't discard Children Category. Some of this Children Category horses was bought for R450000. Cancel this Category and I guarantee DSA will spend some time in court VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove Children and allow large children to move to Juniors at 12. It is expensive for venues to provide Pony Riders and Children classes with prize money, rosettes, sashes, etc. where there may only be one entry in the Children's class.
9	Rule 31.5.0 Definition of horse and pony Against current FEI rules	GP	DS doesn't adhere to FEI JS/WC There are so many court cases around this issue, conflict should be avoided and the height be the same for all disciplines as most ponies go between disciplines HJ Not in line with FEI VDC Agree
10	Rule 32.1.0 Tests, arenas and officials Against current FEI rules	GP	HJ Don't agree. Can't scratch Children Category. Will be taken to court for the amount of the horses was bought for. Wil also loose the Sanesa children that enter our shows. Loss of income. VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very much in favour of the use of snaffles right up to Grand Prix at non CDN (or FEI ?) Shows. More user friendly for a lot of horses and riders. • Give definition of H/C in rules • Snaffles for all tests up to and including GP as is done overseas. Double bridles only required in FEI tests at FEI shows. I do not think Hors Concours should be allowed at Championship shows.
11	Rule 32.2.0 Calling of Tests OK	GP	
12	Rule 32.3.2 Arenas OK	GP	VDC Agree
13	Rule 32.3.4 Arenas OK	GP	
14	Rule 32.4.0 Riding in the Arena OK	WC	JS/WC Add: at the discretion of the judge HJ see no point in letting Prelim horses start from inside. How will judge know what riders want to start inside and who outside. GP No – not applicable VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • All competitors may start from inside the arena overseas if the arena does not have the space for the rider to go around because the side of the arena is too close to a wall etc. • I am all in favour of allowing horses to start their test from inside the arena at everyday Shows and Prelim level especially... at the discretion of the Show Organisers. However, to allow Prelim to ride inside...and

			<p>then say...no circles or figures ...is going to be hard to police and at that level will probably cause a lot of confusion. For me, they can either ride inside or they can't (circles etc allowed)...BUT for a limited time only, equivalent to plus/minus two normal circuits around the outside of the arena?? What happens if the prelim rider rides a circle and either they...or the judge...don't realise its "illegal"?? How are they to be penalised??? I can just envisage issues arising !</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Already stated in current rule
15	Rule 32.5.2 Judges and Officials OK	GP	<p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Fully agree. Otherwise the judging is incorrect leading to confusion and unhappiness • But surely the show holding body should be accredited therefore any accredited show holding body must use accredited officials
16	Rule 32.5.3 Incorrect Reference OK	NJC/GP	<p>GP Yes however we don't believe that the correct process was followed as this doesn't appear to come from a club</p>
16a	Rule 32.5.3 Judges & Officials	GP	
17	Rule 32.6.4 Judging of Tests OK	GP	<p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Fine in theory...but how do you "know" at the time whether or not the technical failure was due to the rider's negligence or whatever? You have to make an instant decision as a judge. What I do think, is that riders have to be a lot more disciplined and timeous about handing in and checking their music beforehand. Many competitors are slack in this regard which places unnecessary stress on the organisers.
18	Rule 32.7.6 The Collective Marks OK	NJC/GP	<p>GP Yes however this was a rule change that Gauteng requested and we see no reference to this – please revert. And again we don't believe this is the correct channel (its logged as national judges committee)</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Fully support bringing the Collectives back, even though at the lower levels especially, discrepancies in those last 4 marks can account for a big % of the total and considerably influence the final result. This does not impact so much in the higher tests with more overall marks as the Collectives form only a relatively small %. This is perhaps why FEI were happy to just go with the rider mark...as they found in trials that it made little difference either way. It is certainly less time consuming. However....In favour of Collectives, is the often valuable feedback given to riders(and trainers), highlighting the basic weaknesses and strengths in their test performance and training etc. Believe our lower level riders particularly need this guidance.
19	Rule 32.7.12.5 Scoring OK	GP	<p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Indeed...time "faults" are not errors in the true sense...so how do you penalise this? In a way, it is like riding over/under your Freestyle allotted time? Up to 10 secs either way is treated leniently and not punished. After that there is a deduction of 2 marks. Can we not look at this in the same light?
20	Rule 32.7.12.6 Scoring Against current FEI rules	GP	<p>HJ don't agree, can't take out children category</p> <p>VDC</p>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Disagree
21	<p>Rule 32.10.9 Riding of Tests</p> <p>OK</p>	GP	<p>HJ don't agree. what happens if judge is busy and it is your time to start, now you went your two times, judge still busy and you can't ride around the arena to keep your horse warm and focus. Don't create unnecessary rules</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think it is of great advantage to the rider if they are allowed to ride "at least" 2 rounds around the outside of the arena before the judges ring the bell. This to me is fair to everyone, as sometimes the judges are quicker done with the one rider than with another. I find it really benefits the wellbeing of the horse if it has got the chance to get used to the new surroundings!!! • Don't agree • I think it is of great advantage to the rider if they are allowed to ride "at least" 2 rounds around the outside of the arena before the judges ring the bell. This to me is fair to everyone, as sometimes the judges are quicker done with the one rider than with another. I find it really benefits the wellbeing of the horse if it has got the chance to get used to the new surroundings!!! • I think it is of great advantage to the rider if they are allowed to ride "at least" 2 rounds around the outside of the arena before the judges ring the bell. This to me is fair to everyone, as sometimes the judges are quicker done with the one rider than with another. I find it really benefits the wellbeing of the horse if it has got the chance to get used to the new surroundings!!! • The very first sentence of the proposal does not take into consideration that during the go around the judge might be busy with the previous test and this limit might lead to mistakes as the judge might feel rushed in an attempt to get the horse in the arena before they possibly go around for a third time. • I think it should stay as before... but maybe we can say "around the arena a maximum of twice....." It should stay as a recommendation only, as often the time allowed by the show organisers per test is already tight, and if the riders believe they are entitled to ride twice around the arena, then half the shows will run late!!
22	<p>Rule 33.2.0 Permitted Bridles</p> <p>Same as point 27</p>	EV	<p>DS This is a rule change from last year. Apologies for not checking the date. It needs to be corrected! – This has been amended</p> <p>GP Yes however we don't believe that the correct process was followed as this doesn't appear to come from a club and was something that Gauteng submitted already</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Changes in tack implemented by the FEI must be allowed IN SA immediately because tack shops sell these items to the public only for the person to find that SA has not yet allowed the item
23	Rule 33.2.1 Permitted Bridles OK	GP	
24	Rule 33.2.1 Permitted Bridles OK	NJC/GP	<p>GP Yes</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree. Clear for show organisers when competitors call in when entering a show, requesting to ride bitless! Fare to all competitors and judges, that all are competing on an equal playing field. Dressage at this time, contact lies 3rd on the training scale, right at the top!

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No bitless bridles which work on the nerves on the nose. • Already stated in current rule
25	Rule 33.2.2 Permitted Bits OK	NJC/GP	<p>GP Yes however this was a rule change that Gauteng requested and we see no reference to this – please revert. This has been submitted by the national judges committee</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • This needs to be clear to all, so pictures certainly would help
26	Rule 33.2.3 Permitted Bridles (Nosebands) OK	GP	<p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree. FEI clear measurement guideline for the Cavesson fit. Avoids grey area and conflict. • Welfare of the Horse is paramount...and as judges we see too much abuse with overly tight nosebands. • New Zealand has agreed that one finger gap is sufficient. Two fingers actually makes the noseband extremely loose which could cause chaffing instead. Check this on a practical basis.
27	Rule 33.3.0 Permitted whip/spurs Same as Point 22	EV	<p>DS This is a rule change from last year. Apologies for not checking the date. It needs to be corrected! – This has been amended</p> <p>GP Yes however this was a rule change that Gauteng requested and we see no reference to this – please revert</p>
28	Rule 33.4.0 Identification numbers OK	NJC	<p>VDC Agree</p> <p>GP No and in addition this has been submitted by the national judges committee</p>
29	Rule 33.5.0 Prohibited Saddlery in the Arena OK	GP	<p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • a hollow horse is also a welfare issue. Neck-lengtheners avoid accidents • There is a very fine line between ear hoods and ear plugs, I understand the nose nets but am not really sure of ear hoods other than blocking sound. • No. not as stated. Unless we add a clause to state that the Head of the Ground Jury be timeously informed by the Head of the Organising Committee that the permission to strap a wound has been deemed necessary and been granted. Otherwise the competitor will just enter the arena with a bandage on their horse and confusion will reign! • How will the Ground Jury/Judge at C know that the competitor has obtained permission from the OC?
30	Rule 33.6.1 Exercising and or warming up at an event OK	GP	VDC Agree
31	Rule 33.6.3 Exercising and or warming up at an event OK	GP	<p>JS/WC Must stay eliminated as inserting the word ‘may’ makes it become ambiguous which is not recommended.</p> <p>VDC Agree</p>
32	Rule 33.6.4 Exercising and or warming up at an event Against current FEI rules	GP	<p>HJ can't scratch Children Category</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree remove children • All riders in a warm-up should be wearing protective head gear, if people want to wear a Top Hat they must buy a protective top hat
33	Rule 33.7.1 Checking Saddlery OK	GP	<p>JS/WC Should be compulsory for CN status shows in all championships as it levels the playing fields for all riders</p> <p>HJ Not practical to check as every small provincial show</p>

			VDC Agree
34	Rule 34.1.2 Grading points OK	NJC	JS/WC To increase novice to 60% may demotivate riders as the goal would be further away. This could lead to less entries in shows, and less profit for the showholders, just a thought. HJ Agree with NJC GP No VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree with the rationale • Hooray! I've been wanting this to happen for years! Hope it gets passed • Yes, Yes, Yes !!!!
35	Rule 34.1.2 Grading points OK	KZN	GP No
36	Rule 34.1.3 Grading of Horses and Ponies OK	GP	
37	Rule 34.1.3 Grading of Horses and Ponies OK	WC	HJ Agree GP No
38	Rule 34.1.5 Grading of Horses and Ponies OK	GP	VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • But wording needs clarification • remove "O" riders
39	Rule 34.1.6 Grading (a Horse) OK	GP	HJ don't agree VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • But the wording should be Advanced OR small tour not AND to keep the mathematical understanding of OR and AND where AND allows both Advanced and Small tour to be ridden in a day, OR means EITHER and AND means BOTH. • I originally thought it should stay as before, but I see this wording actually clarifies it better i.e. up to and including EM (so, yes, I agree) • Agree, better clarity on this • Think it should stay as is, up to Medium. Grammar in last clause... should read, whether or not" it is run under FEI or DSA rules.
40	Rule 34.3.2 Points for Upgrading OK	GP	VDC Agree
41	Rule 34.4.1 Downgrading OK	GP	HJ Agree VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree time limit is unnecessary • Once having ridden the FEI tests, one should be allowed to ride within the FEI grades without the 5% penalty. One can't be expected to compete in Big tour only as it is very taxing for the horse and in my case, at SA champs, I was told to either downgrade or be penalized so I pushed my horse too hard to compete in the grade, did badly and injured my horse! It wasn't right. If riders in any grade want to downgrade the horse should keep its current points as it has earned them and worked very hard for them. • Unclear
42	Rule 34.4.2 Downgrading OK	GP	HJ Downgrade with points VDC

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All DSA FEI competitions should allow a higher level horse to compete in a lower FEI test when coming back after not being able to compete for a while. We have so few higher level horses, that we do not want to restrict a horse to compete above its current capability. Disagree
43	Rule 34.4.2 Downgrading OK	EV	GP No
44	Rule 34.5.0 Downgrading on change of ownership and/or rider OK	GP	HJ Downgrade with 10 points. VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree to arbitration, but if horse changes owner twice in one year it should be allowed to be downgraded a second time. Some people buy horses that they are not capable of riding. 34.5.5 - No change in wording
45	Rule 34.7.0 Grading of Imported Horses Proposed wording and present wording mixed	GP	VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree to horse being ridden at the highest grade of the rider, allowing experienced riders to ride at the level of the horse, but less capable riders having to ride up the grades. Agree with doing away with OPEN rider or giving OPEN rider a minimum age limit and the requirement that the OPEN rider must be able to school a horse and not only ride a schooled horse. Define Imported Horse's grade in rule. (What if the horse is ungraded overseas i.e "green"?) Yes, because you don't want people buying Grand Prix horses and not being able to ride them
46	Rule 34.8.0 Ponies/Horses Definition OK	GP	HJ not in line with FEI VDC Agree
47	Rule 34.9.1 Age of Horses/Ponies OK	GP	VDC Agree
48	Rule 34.10.0 Safety OK	GP	HJ Agree VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I think some safeguard should be in place..... likewise for Prizegivings!! I have witnessed AT LEAST two incidences during prize givings involving stallions where they have endangered both other horses and riders with their unruly behaviour. There should be some restrictions on this before there is a serious injury or worse! We are seeing more and more stallions on the scene...and not always well controlled or disciplined by their riders/handlers. We all need to be made more aware of the implications. Cannot be removed just because it cannot be policed. Leave in until better wording or criteria can be put in place
49	Rule 34.11.0 Athlete Grading OK	GP	HJ keep the O athletes. See rule 34.11.6. give these riders the tools to use there states Up till now there was they were so restricted that O states was just a name
50	Rule 34.11.3 Athlete Grading OK	EV	GP No VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, in principle I understand the point of the rule change, but the wording is confusing
51	Rule 34.11.6 Athlete grading OK	NC	GP No HJ combined the two
52	Rule 34.11.6 Athlete grading OK	EV	GP No VDC

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I agree that the "O" athlete grading should be removed and a new specification devised. "O" athletes should be over 25 years to have the necessary experience. "O" athletes must have proved themselves on many horses. "O" athletes must be capable of schooling a horse up the grades to be able to assess the horse.
53	Rule 34.11.7 Grading OK	WC	<p>HJ disagree as this rider did paid entry fees</p> <p>GP No</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree There should not be O athletes How will this be "policed"?
54	<p>Rule 37.1.0 Qualification of Riders</p> <p>Against current FEI rules</p>	GP	<p>WC The insertion of SA Citizen in 37.1.6 has no motivation attached. No other discipline that I am aware of has this in their rules, namely show jumping as one of the biggest disciplines. Their SA Championship is open to all. As far as residing and working in this country if DSA takes their money and they are members and ride and compete at our shows & bring revenue to those shows, they should be eligible to win the SA Championship title and if a Botswana competitor is good enough to win why can't they win the championship title, our riders may go to neighbouring countries and win their national title.</p> <p>Furthermore, it sounds as if this rule is following the line of thought in Europe and we can hardly compare dressage in Africa to dressage in Europe. I would argue, to stimulate the sport, that one should abolish all restrictions regarding both National and Provincial Championships to allow anyone from any country or Province to be able to win such Championships. It might motivate people to travel and make these events more prestigious.</p> <p>Lastly, I could not find any National or other statutory rule that is being contravened in this regard. On the contrary, if our discipline unilaterally implements this rule, we will be deterring overseas riders that stimulate our sport from investing in the sport in our country.</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove Children, make Pony riders to end of year 14, Juniors on horses or ponies from 12 for large children. Bring in Young Adult to 25 years to try and keep riders in the sport. Agree but the wording and grammar in 37.1.6 is not correct. Yes, to concept. Grammar and syntax incorrect But how will this be "policed"? Very time-consuming!
55	<p>Rule 37.2.0 Qualification of Horses</p> <p>OK</p>	GP	<p>JS 2 rule changes are shown here.</p> <p>Not 1. The 2nd one has no motivation. The insertion of SA Citizen etc in 37.1.6. My comments relate to this. No other discipline that I am aware of has this in their rules, namely show jumping as one of the biggest disciplines. Their SA Championship is open to all. As far as residing and working in this country if DSA takes their money and they are members and ride and compete at our shows & bring revenue to those shows, they should be eligible to win the SA Championship title and if a Botswana competitor is good enough to win why can't they win the championship title</p>
56	Rule 37.3.1 Entries OK	GP	<p>HJ Take out the part DISCRETION OF THE OC. There is no mandate for discretion</p> <p>VDC Agree</p>

57	Rule 37.4.0 Competitions prior to the championships OK	GP	
58	Rule 37.5.0 Prize Money and Awards OK	GP	<p>HJ Don't agree. if a venue wants to stage this shows, there are some fixed clauses that they have to agree to. this is one of them. DSA must have the power to ensure that these shows are host on a certain standard. if this past a show holding body can decided not to give any prise money and DSA.</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • The prize money should not be stipulated. Dressage does not get the entries to support the stipulated prize money. We are not show jumping where entries are good. Our SA Champs invariably run at a loss, especially when some entry fees are not paid. Entries should not be accepted without payment. • Has the rule actually been applied correctly up to now. Some organising bodies will be happy to pocket the money and pay small prize money.
59	Rule 37.6.0 The Test OK	GP	<p>HJ Agree</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree that all DSA tests and DSA shows with FEI tests should allow whips and spurs according to the wording on the tests. • Agree, most riders not going to the higher levels and rather keep them forward.
60	Rule 37.7.0 The Arena OK	GP	<p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Venues need to make the effort. They are getting entry fees from competitors so must at least give competitors a decent warm-up area.
61	Rule 37.8.0 Selection of judges OK	NJC	<p>GP No</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good rationale... fully support this • OC must have full jurisdiction over their show without further interference.
62	Rule 37.8.1 The Jury OK	GP	<p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • I see no difference in a) and b). Yes to c)
63	Rule 37.9.1 Saddlery OK	GP	<p>HJ Agree</p> <p>VDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Only International Shows should be ridden without whips. SA should not insist on no whips as it does not help to elevate the standard. FEI tests (if Championship tests) can be ridden by memory. Whips should ALWAYS be allowed except at CDIs • At no time is it permissible to carry more than one whip when riding a test.
64	Rule 37.12.0 Ties for first place only – Against current FEI rules	GP	VDC Agree
65	Rule 38.4.0 Qualification of horses for national team events OK	NJC	<p>GP No</p> <p>VDC</p>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Will limit smaller provinces for team eligibility. I agree not Novice horses but E horses and above. Especially with proposed rule changes re flying changes and rising vs sitting trot movements.
66	Rule 38.5.1 Team entries, nominations, final selection <i>I cannot read the proposal only signatures available</i>	GP	VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is an overkill. It takes away the prestigious aspect of being selected for the SA team. Every tom Dick and Harry will have a team badge and it'll be too easy to get on a team. There should be one team per province as normal with varied combinations of horses and riders representing the province.
67	Rule 38.8.0 The Test OK	GP	VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Yes, to concept. Wording needs clarification
68	Rule 38.9.0 Competitions prior to the Championship OK	GP	VDC Agree
69	Rules: Appendix DS/5 Notes 1 OK	FS	GP No
70	Rules: Copyright and Intellectual Property OK	GP	VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Outdated • Judges should be encouraged to keep a copy of the rules on a tablet so that they can search quickly. Tests have to be downloaded to be printed. The current wording is archaic.
71	Rules: DSA Challenge Appendix DS/8 OK	WC	GP Yes – with edits – see attached
72	Rules: FEI Code of Conduct and the welfare of the horse OK	GP	VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Agreed. The horses get too tired when they are still in medium and should only do one test per day. I think two EM tests are fine but from medium, only ride one test a day
73	Rules: Preamble OK	GP	VDC Agree
74	Rules: Section 31 General Regulations (passports) OK	GP	VDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree • Imposition of a fine and possible elimination should not be the responsibility of the vet, but should be the responsibility of the OC
75	Rules: Section 31 OK	GP	